M Vermeulen, D Chowdhury, D Bramabilla, G Jacobs, M Busch, B Custer, U Jentsch, EL Murphy for the REDS III study



Use of a limiting antigen avidity assay to determine HIV incidence in South African first time donor

> **35th South African NATIONAL BLOOD**

Transfusion Congress

5-8 August 2019 — Sun City

Adapt • Innovate • Advance

Introduction



- South Africa has the highest burden of HIV globally with 7.5 million people living with HIV
- The HIV prevalence in the general South African adult population is 19%
- The HIV incidence in the general population between 2012 and 2016 was approximately 10 – 12 per 1000 person years
- HIV incidence poses the largest risk to blood safety



Prevalence vs Incidence

- Prevalence
 - Reflects all the HIV positive donations detected divided by the population
 - Often used for total donor population rates but should actually only be used for first time donors
 - For example the HIV prevalence in first time donors at SANBS is 1% so
 1 out of every hundred first time blood donors is HIV positive
 - Infections occurred at any point in the past and can be detected by most assays
 - Donor questionnaire not designed to detect prevalent infections as it only asks risk behavior for the past 3 months



SANBS

Prevalence vs Incidence



- Incidence
 - Reflects new infections and therefore is more relevant to window period and risk
 - Can be calculated for repeat donors as you know they had previous negative donations and can analyze rates of new infections divided by person-time
 - Can be estimated in first time donors by testing using more sensitive or less sensitive assays (e.g., NAT & Serology or Serology & LAg)
 - Incidence is required to estimate residual risk in repeat and first-time donors



HIV Viremia during early infection SANBS Peak viremia: 10^{6} - 10^{8} gEq/mL HIV RNA (plasma) *Ramp-up viremia* DT = 21.5 hrsHIV p24 Ag p24 Ag EIA -Viral set-point: $10^2 \cdot 10^5$ HIV MP-NAT gEq/mL 1st gen 2nd gen HIV ID-NAT -3rd gen 16 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 0



Slide adapted from M Busch

Limitations of the Repeat donor method



Incidence = $\frac{HIV + repeat \ donors}{Person - years} \times 1000$

- Doesn't provide incidence for first time donors
- If your donor population doesn't have repeat donors or only a small number then the method does not add value
- Person-years is calculated as the sum of all the inter-donation intervals of the negative donors and the sum of half the interdonation intervals for the HIV positive repeat donors; this requires a comprehensive database of donors/donations



Person years classical method

SANBS



Limitations of the NAT yield method

- NAT yield method
 - Too few NAT yields to provide good confidence limits for most blood services
 - Small change in NAT yield provides a large change in incidence



HIV Viremia during early infection



Anti-HIV antibodies have low avidity during the early phases





Slide adapted from M Busch

Limiting antigen avidity (LAg) assay



- Quantitative LAg avidity EIA for distinguishing between recent and long term HIV infections
- The principle of the test is based on the observation that following exposure to HIV-1, the immune system produces low avidity HIV-1 antibodies early in the infection, and as time progresses, the immune system matures and produces high avidity HIV-1 antibodies



Methods



- Plasma samples from HIV positive First time donors during 2012 to 2016 were tested on the LAg assay
- A Mean Duration of Recent Infection (MDRI) of 195 (95% <u>CI: 168-222</u>) days was used for clade C infections in SANBS donors at a normalized optical density (ODn)*
- Donations were classified into three groups, HIV Negative, recent HIV infection and long standing HIV infection
- Long standing HIV positives were classified as prevalent and not included in the analysis



Methods



- HIV incidence = $\frac{\# of LAg \ recent \ infection \ donations}{\# \ donations \ x \ LAg \ MDRI/365} \times 1000$
- Recent cases included NAT yields and HIV NAT+/Ab+/LAg recent
- Person years is derived from the LAg MDRI = 195 days
 - Each negative donation contributed the full MDRI of 195 days
 - Each LAg recent infection case contributed half the MDRI of 97.5 days
- Confidence limits for incidence estimates were derived from Poisson regression



HIV Results of 513,334 First time donors (2012-2016)



- 879 (15.9%) classified as recent infection by LAg
- 4,538 classified as long standing; excluded from incidence analysis
- 123 had missing LAg results and an imputation was performed





South African Incidence per 1000 (2012-2016)







Discussion



- Prevalence is 20 fold lower than the general population
- In South Africa HIV incidence in first time donors is 2 to 3 fold lower than in the general population. Incidence has remained steady over the last few years
- Incidence is twice as high in females vs males
- Highest incidence in Mpumalanga followed by Kwa Zulu Natal, and lowest incidence in North West province
- Possible over-estimation due to false recency due to donors on ART



Discussion



- The use of antibody recency assays is an important new tool for countries that have large numbers of first time donors
- Future research will compare these results to those obtained using other incidence methods



Acknowledgments

Vitalant

Edward Murphy Michael Busch Eduard Grebe Brian Custer

• SANBS

Marion Vermeulen Ronel Swanevelder Ravi Reddy Genevieve Beck Ute Jentsch Karin van den Berg • RTI

Donald Bramabilla Dhuly Chowdhury Chris McClure Jay Hemingway-Foday

• SACEMA

Alex Welte







THANK YOU